

HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [AG/1000]

From: Ashley Roberts Intertek [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 02, 2015 9:28 AM
To: HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [AG/1000]
Subject: FW: Poster Abstract

Hi Bill,

Please see John's response below.

Ashley

Ashley Roberts, Ph.D.
Senior Vice President
Food & Nutrition Group

[REDACTED]

From: John Acquavella [REDACTED]
Sent: November-02-15 10:16 AM
To: David Garabrant; Thomas Sorahan
Cc: Ashley Roberts Intertek; Weed, Doug
Subject: Re: Poster Abstract

Ashley:

I agree as well that you can't say that there is no evidence. If you want something more provocative, perhaps consider: An expert panel's review disagrees with IARC's recent classification of the herbicide glyphosate. I think that is the gist of the abstract anyway.

But, I'm fine with your first option.

Regards,

John

From: David Garabrant <[REDACTED]>
Date: Monday, November 2, 2015 at 7:13 AM
To: Thomas Sorahan [REDACTED]
Cc: "Intertek, Ashley" [REDACTED]; John Acquavella [REDACTED]; "Weed, Doug" [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Poster Abstract

I agree with Tom's suggestion.

David H. Garabrant, MD, MPH

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE: The information transmitted in this electronic communication, including attachments, is intended only for the person(s) or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged materials. Any review, transmission, dissemination or other use of this information, or taking any action in reliance upon this information, by person or entities other than the intended recipient is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender and destroy any copies of this information.

From: "Thomas Sorahan" <[REDACTED]>
To: "Ashley Roberts Intertek" <[REDACTED]>, "John Acquavella" <[REDACTED]>, "David Garabrant" <[REDACTED]>, "douglasweed" <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, November 2, 2015 3:50:13 AM
Subject: RE: Poster Abstract

Dear Ashley

I prefer the first title. if something more provocative is wanted, then I think the second title should have 'no convincing evidence'. We can't say 'no evidence' because that means there is not a single scrap of evidence, and I don't see how we can go that far.

Tom Sorahan

ps Gary Marsh isn't on the email list.

From: Ashley Roberts Intertek [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, November 02, 2015 1:16 AM
To: John Acquavella; Thomas Sorahan; David Garabrant; douglasweed
Subject: Poster Abstract

Dear All,

Please find attached the final version of the abstract that will be sent to the Society for Risk Analysis Annual Meeting in Arlington, Virginia December 6-10 for their poster presentation session.

We are now looking for a title for the abstract and was hoping that one of the 2 versions below might fit the bill? Please let me know if you like one of these titles and if not if you could come up with an alternative version.

We were thinking it could be something like:

"Expert Panel Review of the Carcinogenic Potential of the Herbicide Glyphosate"

or something a little more provocative, like:

"An Expert Panel Concludes there is No Evidence that Glyphosate is Carcinogenic to Humans"

I look forward to receiving your feedback. If you could get back to me at your earliest convenience that would be great as we are looking to submit early this week.

Best Wishes

Ashley Roberts, Ph.D.
Senior Vice President
Food & Nutrition Group
Intertek Scientific & Regulatory Consultancy

From: John Acquavella [REDACTED]
Sent: September-16-15 1:45 PM
To: Thomas Sorahan; David Garabrant; douglasweed
Cc: Ashley Roberts Intertek
Subject: update

Tom/David/Doug:

Just a brief update so you are aware of progress toward our deadline for producing a report for sponsor review by COB Sept 30. To date, I've cobbled together an initial VERY ROUGH draft of the report based on everyone's contributions with the following omissions/limitations:

- Gary's statistical sections are missing. I'm waiting for him to return to active duty – perhaps next week after he catches up with his UPITT duties
- Obvious language/style differences in the reviews from each contributor (even with some editing on my part)
- Final causal assessments for NHL and MM have not yet been drafted. Doug, we are hoping that you will provide the first draft of these assessments. Please send asap.

I'm going to shape up the VERY ROUGH draft over the next day or so and hopefully will email a ROUGH draft for your comments by COB on Friday. Please forgive me for anything from your reviews that I left on the cutting room floor. Glad to fit back in anything you feel is essential.

I think it might be helpful to have a conference call sometime mid to late next week to come to consensus on our causal statements and any other matters about the report, but please do send comments on the draft asap after receiving it. I've cc'd Ashley for help in coordinating the conference call.

Call or email if you have any questions. Thank you for all your contributions so far.

Regards,

John

Valued Quality. Delivered.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE

This email may contain confidential or privileged information, if you are not the intended recipient, or the person responsible for delivering the message to the intended recipient then please notify us by return email immediately. Should you have received this email in error then you should not copy this for any purpose nor disclose its contents to any other person.

<http://www.intertek.com>