1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Ronald L.M. Goldman, Esq. (State Bar #33422) A. Ilyas Akbari, Esq. (State Bar #228051) BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN, P.C. 12100 Wilshire Boulevard., Suite 950 Los Angeles, California 90025-7114 Telephone: (310) 207-3233 Facsimile: (310) 820-7444 <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff</i> SUPERIOR COURT OF THE S COUNTY OF LO DIANA CATALINA CABRERA, Individually as Surviving Daughter to JOSE RICARDO CABRERA (also known as JUAN PABLO SAENZ), Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JOSE RICARDO CABRERA; MIRYAN WILMA CABRERA (also known as MONICA	BC514477 CASE NO. COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES FOR WRONGFUL DEATH FOR BREACH OF WARRANTIES; STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY;
13 14 15 16 17	ALEXANDRA SAENZ), Surviving Wife; } RICARDO ANDRES CABRERA, Surviving } Son; WILMA ALEXANDRA CABRERA, > Surviving Daughter; and CINDY JOHANNA > CABRERA, Surviving Daughter, > and >	AND NEGLIGENCE JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	DIANA MARIA GOMEZ, Individually as Surviving Wife to JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL, Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL; JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA TREJOS, Surviving Son; LUIS FELIPE GAVIRIA, Surviving Son; and SYLVANA GAVIRIA, Surviving Daughter, Plaintiffs,	
25 26 27 28	v. ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC.,) a corporation, ROLLS-ROYCE CORPORATION, a corporation, ROLLS- ROYCE NORTH AMERICA, INC., a corporation, ROLLS-ROYCE HOLDINGS	
	COMPLAINT FOR WRONGF	UL DEATH DAMAGES

 PLC, a corporation, HONEYWELL
 INTERNATIONAL, INC., a corporation, HONEYWELL AEROSPACE, a corporation, and DOES 1-100, Inclusive,

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Defendants.

COMES NOW the plaintiffs DIANA CATALINA CABRERA, Individually as Surviving Daughter to JOSE RICARDO CABRERA (aka JUAN PABLO SAENZ), Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JOSE RICARDO CABRERA; MIRYAN WILMA CABRERA (also known as MONICA ALEXANDRA SAENZ), Surviving Wife; RICARDO ANDRES CABRERA, Surviving Son; WILMA ALEXANDRA CABRERA, Surviving Daughter; and CINDY JOHANNA CABRERA, Surviving Daughter (collectively, hereinafter the "CABRERA PLAINTIFFS"), and DIANA MARIA GOMEZ, Individually as Surviving Wife to JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL, Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL; JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA TREJOS, Surviving Son; LUIS FELIPE GAVIRIA, Surviving Son; and SYLVANA GAVIRIA, Surviving Daughter (collectively, hereinafter the "GAVIRIA PLAINTIFFS"), and for causes of action against the defendants, and each of them, allege:

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

1. On July 12, 2011, a Robinson Helicopter R66 helicopter (Federal Aviation Administration Registration Number N810AG; Serial Number 0021) (hereinafter "N810AG") experienced mechanical failure and crashed during flight near Flandes, Colombia.

27 2. Both occupants, JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL (hereinafter 28 "GAVIRIA") and JOSE RICARDO CABRERA (also known as JUAN PABLO SAENZ) (hereinafter "CABRERA"), died on the scene after N810AG experienced a mechanical malfunction and uncontrollable loss of power (hereinafter, GAVIRIA and CABRERA, collectively, referred to as "DECEDENTS").

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff DIANA CATALINA CABRERA is the surviving daughter to JOSE RICARDO CABRERA, deceased, and she brings this action in her individual capacity as the surviving daughter of CABRERA and in her representative capacity for the heirs of CABRERA for the use and benefit of all persons entitled to recover for the death of CABRERA, deceased. DIANA CATALINA CABRERA is a United States citizen and resides in the State of California.

4. Plaintiff MIRYAN WILMA CABRERA (aka MONICA ALEXANDRA SAENZ) is the sole surviving spouse of CABRERA, and she sues in her individual capacity. MIRYAN WILMA CABRERA is a citizen of the United States.

5. Plaintiff RICARDO ANDRES CABRERA is the surviving son of CABRERA, and he sues in his individual capacity. RICARDO ANDRES CABRERA is a citizen of the United States.

6. Plaintiff WILMA ALEXANDRA CABRERA is the surviving daughter of CABRERA, and she sues in her individual capacity. WILMA ALEXANDRA CABRERA is a citizen of the United States.

7. Plaintiff CINDY JOHANNA CABRERA is the surviving daughter of CABRERA, and she sues in her individual capacity. CINDY JOHANNA CABRERA is a United States citizen and resides in the State of California.

COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH DAMAGES

8. Plaintiff DIANA MARIA GOMEZ is the sole surviving spouse to JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL, deceased, and she brings this action in her individual capacity as surviving wife and her representative capacity for the heirs of GAVIRIA for the use and benefit of all persons entitled to recover for the death of GAVIRIA, deceased.

9. Plaintiff JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA TREJOS is the surviving son of GAVIRIA, deceased, and he sues in his individual capacity.

10. Plaintiff LUIS FELIPE GAVIRIA is the surviving son of GAVIRIA, deceased, and he sues in his individual capacity.

11. Plaintiff SYLVANA GAVIRIA is the surviving daughter of GAVIRIA, deceased, and she sues in her individual capacity.

12. Defendant ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC. (hereinafter "RHC") is a California corporation. It maintains its principal place of business and headquarters in Los Angeles County, California. RHC is a California citizen and is subject to personal jurisdiction in the State of California. RHC, among other things, designed, manufactured, tested, inspected, trained pilots to fly, distributed, advertised, marketed, warranted and sold N810AG in Los Angeles County.

13. Defendant HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. is a Delaware corporation which maintains its principal place of business and headquarters in Morristown, New Jersey, and, on information and belief, is doing business in the State of California.

14. Defendant HONEYWELL AEROSPACE is, on information and belief, a Delaware corporation which maintains its principal place of business and headquarters in Phoenix, Arizona, and, on information and belief, is doing business in the State of California. 15. At all times relevant herein, Defendants HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., HONEYWELL AEROSPACE and DOES 1-10, inclusive (collectively, hereinafter, "HONEYWELL"), among other things, designed, manufactured, tested, inspected, trained, distributed, advertised, marketed, warranted and sold, among other things, the fuel system and its component parts, in the turbine powered Robinson R66 helicopter model, including N810AG.

16. Defendant ROLLS-ROYCE CORPORATION is an Indiana corporation which maintains its principal place of business and headquarters in Indianapolis, Indiana, and, on information and belief, is doing business in the State of California.

17. Defendant ROLLS-ROYCE NORTH AMERICA, INC. is a Delaware corporation which maintains its principal place of business and headquarters in Reston, Virginia, and, on information and belief, is doing business in the State of California.

18. Defendant ROLLS-ROYCE HOLDINGS PLC is a London corporation which maintains a principal place of business and headquarters in London, England, and, on information and belief, is doing business in the State of California.

19. Defendants ROLLS-ROYCE CORPORATION, ROLLS-ROYCE NORTH AMERICA, INC., ROLLS-ROYCE HOLDINGS PLC and DOES 11-20, inclusive (collectively, hereinafter "ROLLS-ROYCE"), among other things, designed, manufactured, tested, inspected, trained, distributed, advertised, marketed, warranted and sold, among other things, the Rolls Royce RR 300 turboshaft engine to be installed, and which was installed, on all Robinson R66 helicopters, including N810AG, which was designed, manufactured and sold in Los Angeles County.

5 COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH DAMAGES

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege that, at all times herein relevant that RHC, HONEYWELL, ROLLS-ROYCE and DOES 1-100, and each of them, participated in and were actively engaged in the development and design of the Rolls-Royce RR300 engine and its component parts, for the R66 model helicopter, including N810AG, and each of them approved, adopted, and ratified the design of the Rolls-Royce RR300 engine and the fuel system component parts for use in the R66 helicopter models, including N810AG.

21. Defendants RHC, HONEYWELL, ROLLS-ROYCE and DOES 1-100, and each of them, at all times herein, knew and intended that all R66 model helicopters, including, but not limited to, N810AG, and, among other things, its engine and component parts would be purchased and used by purchasers or users, including DECEDENTS, without inspection for defects therein or in any of its component parts.

22. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1-100, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who are therefore sued by those fictitious names pursuant to the provisions of California Code of Civil Procedure § 474. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and therefore allege, that each of those defendants was in some manner tortiously responsible for the events and happenings alleged in this complaint and legally caused the injuries and damages alleged herein; plaintiffs will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained.

23. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, and each of them, and their aggregates, corporates, associates, and partners, and each of them, were the agent, servant, employee, assignee, permissive user, successor in interest or joint venturer of each other, and were acting within the time, purpose or scope of such agency or employment or permission;

and all acts or omissions alleged herein of each such defendant were authorized, adopted, approved, or ratified by each of the other defendants.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

24. This Court has jurisdiction of this matter pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedures §§ 377.60 and 377.61 for damages in excess of FIFTY THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$50,000.00), exclusive of interest, costs and attorney fees arising from the injuries to, and wrongful death of, CABRERA and additionally for FIFTY THOUSAND AND NO/100 DOLLARS (\$50,000.00) for injuries to and wrongful death of GAVIRIA.

25. Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to the California Rules of Civil Procedure § 395(a) because Defendant RHC maintains its principal place of business in Los Angeles County, California and because the helicopter crash occurred outside of Los Angeles County.

26. Venue in California State Court is proper because Plaintiffs DIANA CATALINA CABRERA and CINDY JOHANNA CABRERA reside in the State of California and are citizens of the State of California. Defendant RHC also resides in the County of Los Angeles, State of California, and is a citizen of the State of California.

27. In addition, a substantial part of the events, acts or omissions giving rise to the claim, including but not limited to, the design, manufacture, testing, training, advertising, warranting, sale and delivery of R66 helicopters, their engines and component parts, including N810AG, occurred in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. Defendants, and each of them, were, at all times herein relevant, authorized to do business, and were doing business, in the County of Los Angeles, State of California.

///

BACKGROUND

28. RHC designs, manufactures and sells the two place R22 model helicopter, which became commercially available in October 1979. RHC also designs, manufactures and sells the four place R44 model helicopter, which became commercially available in March 1992.
Both models have piston driven engines.

29. On or about October 2010 RHC obtained type certification from the Federal
 Aviation Administration (hereinafter "FAA") for production of its new five place turbine
 ⁹ powered R66 helicopter.

30. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and theron allege, that RHC claims it produced its 10,000th helicopter in November 2011 and that it produces the most civilian helicopters in the world.

(http://www.robinsonheli.com/rhc_company_history.html).

б

31. In addition, according to RHC's Winter 2013 newsletter, RHC produced 517 helicopters in 2012 and, "[a]s in previous years, the majority (70 percent) of sales went to foreign customers."

(http://www.robinsonheli.com/media/newsletters/2013_winter.pdf).

32. N810AG has Serial Number 0021 and was purchased and delivered in the County of Los Angeles in or about February 2011, and was one of the first R66 helicopters sold by RHC to the general public.

33. At the time of purchase, RHC provided to GAVIRIA an express warranty concerning N810AG, which stated in pertinent part the following:

Robinson Helicopter Company, Inc. (hereafter referred to as RHC) warrants each new helicopter to be free from defects in material and workmanship appearing within two years from the date of delivery from the RHC factory or during the first one thousand (1000) hours of operation, whichever occurs first...New aircraft are equipped with new engines which have a separate Rolls Royce limited warranty.

34. On July 12, 2011, N810AG experienced mechanical failure and crashed during flight near Flandes, Colombia, killing GAVIRIA and CABRERA, who were the only persons on board.

35. In or about December 2011 the entirety of the N810AG wreckage, except its engine, was shipped to McSwain Engineering in Pensacola, Florida, for inspection, where it remains and will remain until the resolution or trial of this case.

36. On or about January 30, 2012 the RR300 turbine engine that had been installed on N810AG was inspected by ROLLS-ROYCE and RHC in Indianapolis, Indiana. At that inspection ROLLS-ROYCE downloaded the data from N810AG's Electronic Control Module. The plotted data show that N810AG's engine was experiencing a series of extreme cycles indicating uncontrollable full power followed by moments of uncontrollable power loss during approximately the final 30 seconds of flight prior to the crash. The engine was therafter shipped to McSwain Engineering in Pensacola, Florida, where it remains and will remain until the resolution or trial of this case.

37. On or about May 8, 2012, an inspection of the entire wreckage of N810AG was conducted at McSwain Engineering in Pensacola, Florida. The inspection lasted over three days. Representatives of RHC and ROLLS-ROYCE attended and participated in the inspection along with experts retained by Plaintiffs.

38. On or about March 14, 2013, a further inspection of fuel system component parts, including but not limited to the fuel control, power turbine governor and fuel pump, was conducted at Aeroscope, Inc. in Broomfield, Colorado. Representatives from HONEYWELL (the manufacturer of the component parts) and ROLLS-ROYCE attended and participated in the inspection along with experts retained by Plaintiffs.

39. On or about May 28, 2013 a detailed scientific inspection of fuel system component parts, including but not limited to the fuel control, power turbine governor and fuel pump, was conducted at McSwain Engineering in Pensacola, Florida. Representatives from HONEYWELL and ROLLS-ROYCE attended and participated in the inspection along with experts retained by Plaintiffs.

40. During the inspections it was discovered that, among other things, the fuel system in N810AG was defective; said defects were a direct and proximate cause of mechanical failure and the cycles of uncontrollable power surges and loss which led to the crash of N810AG.

41. The entire wreckage and engine of N810AG, including all parts, remain stored at McSwain Engineering in Pensacola, Florida and have been, and will be, made available for inspection at that site by any party in this lawsuit upon reasonable request.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF WARRANTIES

(All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

42. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every prior and subsequent
 allegation as though fully set forth herein.

43. Defendants, and each of them, expressly and impliedly represented, among other things, that N810AG, its engine and all component parts, were designed, manufactured, distributed, and sold by them, and each of them, were safe, airworthy and of merchantable quality.

44. Defendants, and each of them, did not disclose to DECEDENTS, or either of them, that N810AG was susceptible to catastrophic mechanical failure during foreseeable flight operations.

45. At all times herein relevant, RHC held itself out to purchasers, users and operators, including DECEDENTS, as among the world's leading producer of civil helicopters and for being known worldwide for safe and reliable helicopters. In addition, at the time of purchase, RHC provided, among other things, an express warranty concerning N810AG, which stated in pertinent part the following:

Robinson Helicopter Company, Inc. (hereafter referred to as RHC) warrants each new helicopter to be free from defects in material and workmanship appearing within two years from the date of delivery from the RHC factory or during the first one thousand (1000) hours of operation, whichever occurs first...New aircraft are equipped with new engines which have a separate Rolls Royce limited warranty.

46. At all times herein relevant, HONEYWELL, ROLLS-ROYCE and DOES 1-100, inclusive, held themselves out to purchasers, users and operators, including DECEDENTS, as among the world's leading producers of aircraft engines and component

parts and for being known worldwide for safe and reliable aircraft engines and aircraft component parts.

47. At all times herein relevant, Defendants, and each of them, further expressly and impliedly represented, among other things, that they each place great emphasis on research and development and that they each maintain the highest standards for the design, manufacture and service of helicopters, aircraft engines, and aircraft component parts.

48. Plaintiffs have provided Defendants with notice of the defects and, by way of this complaint, provide further notice to each of them.

49. In the condition in which N810AG was sold and delivered to GAVIRIA, it was not suitable for its intended purpose and use, resulting in injury and death to DECENDENTS and resultant damages to Plaintiffs, individually and collectively.

50. At the time of the purchase, Defendants, and each of them, knew or had reason to know that GAVIRIA and CABRERA, and each of them, intended to use the product, without inspection for defects, for a particular purpose, to wit: flight.

51. At the time of purchase, Defendants, and each of them, knew or had reason to know that DECEDENTS, and each of them, were relying upon Defendants', and each of their, skill and judgment to select, furnish, design, manufacture, distribute and sell a product that was suitable for the particular purpose.

52. DECEDENTS, and each of them, justifiably relied on Defendants' skill and judgment in making the decision to purchase, operate and use N810AG.

53. With its inherent manufacturing and design defects, the product was not suitable for the particular purpose.

54. As a result of the unsuitability of the product and its failure to meet the intended purposes, N810AG crashed, and DECEDENTS, and each of them, were severely injured and died. Plaintiffs, and each of them, were damaged as a result of Defendants', and each of their, failures to provide a product suitable for the particular purposes sought by DECEDENTS.

55. Defendants, and each of them, expressly represented that N810AG, including but not limited to its engine and aircraft component parts, were safe and airworthy when, in fact, it was not.

56. Defendants, and each of them, made assurances to DECEDENTS, and each of them, that N810AG was safe and that it conformed to Defendants', and each of their, stated methods of producing helicopters, aircraft engines and aircraft component parts designed and manufactured to the highest quality.

57. Contrary to the express and implied representations made by Defendants, and each of them, N810AG contained, among other things, dangerous, defective characteristics of its engine, fuel system component parts, and other parts, rendering it unsafe, and therefore not designed, manufactured, distributed and sold as expressly represented by Defendants, and each of them.

58. Defendants', and each of their, failure to provide a helicopter suitable for DECEDENT's use, as expressly or impliedly represented, was a substantial factor in causing the crash and death of DECEDENTS and the damages to Plaintiffs, and each of them, as herein alleged.

59. As a direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs, and each of them, have lost their beloved husbands and fathers in the crash of N810AG. As a direct and proximate result of the deaths of GAVIRIA and CABRERA,

Plaintiffs, and each of them, have suffered, *inter alia*, the loss of companionship, society, loss of consortium, and the loss of love, companionship, comfort, care, assistance, protection, affection, society, moral support, right of support, expectations of future support, as well as other benefits and assistance that DECEDENTS, and each of them, would have provided to each of them, according to proof at the time of trial.

60. As a further direct and proximate result of the conduct of Defendants, and each of them, Plaintiffs, and each of them, have incurred economic expenses, including but not limited to loss of financial support, personal property loss, funeral, burial and incidental expenses for each decedent in an amount to be determined according to proof at the time of trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

STRICT PRODUCT LIABILITY

(All Plaintiffs Against All Defendants)

61. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every prior and subsequent allegation as though fully set forth herein.

62. At all relevant times RHC, among other things, designed, manufactured, inspected, tested, trained, warranted, distributed and sold the model R66 helicopter, including N810AG.

63. At all relevant times HONEYWELL, ROLLS-ROYCE and DOES 1-100, among other things, designed, manufactured, inspected, tested, trained warranted, distributed and sold the aircraft engine and aircraft component parts, including but not limited to the fuel system component parts, of the model R66 helicopter, including N810AG.

б

64. From the time GAVIRIA took delivery of N810AG through July 12, 2011, N810AG, and its component parts, were in substantially the same condition, including but not limited to the engine and fuel control system, and other parts, as it was when it left RHC's possession, except for deterioration caused during normal, foreseeable, use caused by the defective manufacture and/or design.

65. At all relevant times, N810AG was used in a way that was reasonably foreseeable to Defendants, and each of them.

66. At all times herein mentioned, defendants, and each of them, knew and intended that R66 helicopters and their component parts would be purchased by members of the public, and used by the purchasers, pilots, and passengers without inspection for defects.

67. At all relevant times N810AG was defective in that, among other things, the engine, fuel control system component parts, and other component parts, contained manufacturing and/or design defects that caused N810AG to experience mechanical failure during normal flight, resulting in, among other things, uncontrollable power surges and loss, resulting in the crash, the death of DECEDENTS, and damages to Plaintiffs, and each of them, according to proof at the time of trial.

68. By virtue of the foregoing defects and conditions in N810AG, the risks associated with the design of the engine, fuel control system, and other parts outweigh its benefits taking into account the potential harm to the helicopter occupants, the likelihood that this harm would occur, the existence of several alternative designs at the time of the design and manufacture and the cost of safer alternative designs.

69. Additionally, as manufactured, designed, distributed and sold, N810AG, its engine, fuel system component parts, and other parts, was defective in that N810AG suffered

catastrophic mechanical failure and loss of power during normal flight operations, causing the aircraft not to perform as safely as an ordinary consumer would have expected it to on the occasion in question.

70. N810AG's defects were a substantial factor in causing harm to DECEDENTS and damages to the Plaintiffs, and each of them, as alleged herein, and as such, Defendants, and each of them, are strictly liable.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

NEGLIGENCE

(All Plaintiffs Against Defendants RHC, HONEYWELL,

ROLLS-ROYCE AND DOES 1-100)

71. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference each and every prior and subsequent allegation as though fully set forth herein.

72. Plaintiffs are informed and believe, and thereon allege, that at all times herein Defendants RHC, HONEYWELL, ROLLS-ROYCE and DOES 1-100 inclusive, and each of them, were engaged in the business of, among other things, designing, manufacturing, inspecting, testing, training, marketing, distributing, advertising, warranting, selling and monitoring its products in the market place including N810AG, its engine, its fuel system component parts, and other parts.

73. At all times herein Defendants, and each of them, so negligently, carelessly, and recklessly, among other things, designed, manufactured, inspected, tested, trained warranted, distributed and sold the R66 model helicopter and their component parts, including but not limited to the engine and fuel system component parts, including N810AG, so as to be the direct and proximate cause of its mechanical failure during foreseeable use, causing the

COMPLAINT FOR WRONGFUL DEATH DAMAGES

crash and resultant injuries and death to DECEDENTS and damages to Plaintiffs, and each of them, as described herein.

PRAYER FOR DAMAGES
WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray for judgment for each Plaintiff against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

1. For general damages including but not limited to loss of love, society, comfort, companionship and support in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), according to proof and in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.10;

2. For economic damages for past and future loss of financial support, in an amount in excess of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), according to proof and in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.10;

3. For economic damages including funeral, burial and related expenses, according to proof and in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.10;

4. For prejudgment interest, according to proof and in accordance with California Code of Civil Procedure § 425.10;

5. For costs of suit incurred herein; and

For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: July 9, 2013

6.

BAUM HEDLUND ARISTEI & GOLDMAN PC

By: A. Ilyas Akbari

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

		DEMAND	FOR TRIAL BY	JURY	
	laintiffs hereby dem				
		iana triar by			~~~
³ Dated: J	uly 9, 2013		BAUM HEDLUND	ARISTEI & GOLDN	AAN PC
5			A		
6			By: A	A. Ilyas Akbari	
7			Attorneys for Pla	aintiffs	
8					
9					
0					
1					
2					
3					
4					
5	·				
5		x.			
, .					
3					
9					
D					
1					
2				,	
3					
4					
5					
5					
7					
8			18		

SUM-100

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC., a corporation, **ROLLS-ROYCE CORPORATION**, a corporation (See Attachment)

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE):

DIANA CATALINA CABRERA, Individually as Surviving Daughter and as Successor In Interest (See Attachment)

NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case. AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desechar el caso.

Taxasumassa

(El nombre y dirección de la	court is: corte es): Los Angeles Superior Court	(Número del Caso):	BC51447
111 North Hill Street			han Ma di onio con con a
Los Angeles, CA 9001	2		
(El nombre, la dirección y el i	phone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff with número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, c	del demandante que no	
Baum, Hedlund, Ariste	& Goldman, 12100 Wilshire Blvd., #950	Los Angele, CA 90	0025 (310) 207-3233
DATE: (Fecha)	UL (Clerk, by (Securitario)		, De
	ummons, use Proof of Service of Summons (form P esta citatión use el formulario Proof of Service of Su		
[SEAL]	NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are	served	
	1. as an individual defendant. 2. as the person sued under the fictitious	name of <i>(specify):</i>	
	3 on behalf of (specify):		

other (specify):

by personal delivery on (date):

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use	
Judicial Council of California	
SUM-100 [Rev. July 1, 2009]	

. .

r ...

.....

under:

4.

SUMMONS

CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation)

CCP 416.40 (association or partnership)

CCP 416.10 (corporation)

CCP 416.60 (minor)

CCP 416.70 (conservatee) CCP 416.90 (authorized person)

, Deputy (Adjunto)

Sup	CR.		G	N	A	L	FL FC/	LĒ	D so	藏為	ila
	1	J		C	A DEPARTY	9	21	01	3		

CONFORMED COPY

FOR COURT USE ONLY (SOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

John A. Office. Executive Officer/Clerk BY______ Deputy Chaunya Wesley

SHORT TITLE: CABRERA V. ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY

CASE NUMBER:

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

- -> This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.
- If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."

List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of party.):

✓ Plaintiff Defendant Cross-Complainant Cros	Cross-Defendant
--	-----------------

to JOSE RICARDO CABRERA (also known as JUAN PABLO SAENZ), Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JOSE RICARDO CABRERA, and as to any survivable causes of action; MIRYAN WILMA CABRERA (also known as MONICA ALEXANDRA SAENZ), Surviving Wife; RICARDO ANDRES CABRERA, Surviving Son; WILMA ALEXANDRA CABRERA, Surviving Daughter; and CINDY JOHANNA CABRERA, Surviving Daughter,

and

DIANA MARIA GOMEZ, Individually as Surviving Wife and as Successor in Interest to JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL, Deceased, on behalf of the heirs of JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA ARISTIZABAL, and as to any survivable causes of action; JUAN PABLO GAVIRIA TREJOS, Surviving Son; LUIS FELIPE GAVIRIA, Surviving Son; and SYLVANA GAVIRIA, Surviving Daughter,

Plaintiffs,

Page _____ of

SUM-200(A)

SHORT TITLE:				
CABRERA v.	ROBINSON	HELICOPTER	COMPANY,	INC.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE

This form may be used as an attachment to any summons if space does not permit the listing of all parties on the summons.
 If this attachment is used, insert the following statement in the plaintiff or defendant box on the summons: "Additional Parties Attachment form is attached."

List additional parties (Check only one box. Use a separate page for each type of party.):

Plaintiff

✓ Defendant Cross-Complainant

nt Cross-Defendant

CASE NUMBER:

ROLLS-ROYCE NORTH AMERICA, INC., a corporation, ROLLS-ROYCE HOLDINGS PLC, a corporation, HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL, INC., a corporation, HONEYWELL AEROSPACE, a corporation, and DOES 1-100, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Page 2 of 2 Page 1 of 1

	CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Bar number, and address): A. Ilyas Akbari CSB #228051	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Baum, Hedlund, Aristei & Goldman, P.C. 12100 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 950 Los Angeles, CA 90025 TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 207-3233 FAX NO.: (31	0) 820-7444 CONFORMED COPY ORIGINAL FILED SUPERIOR COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES
ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES STREET ADDRESS: 111 North Hill Street	JUL 0 9 2013
MAILING ADDRESS: Same CITY AND ZIP CODE: LOS Angeles, CA 90012	John A. State. Executive Officer/Clerk
BRANCH NAME: Central District	BY Deputy
CASE NAME: Cabrera et âl. v. Robinson Heli	
Unlimited Limited	ex Case Designation
(Amount (Amount Coun	ter Joinder st appearance by defendant
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less) (Cal. Ru	es of Court, rule 3.402) DEPT:
	pleted (see instructions on page 2).
1. Check one box below for the case type that best describes Auto Tort Contract	this case: Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
	f contract/warranty (06) (Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400–3.403)
	IO collections (09) Antitrust/Trade regulation (03) lections (09) Construction defect (10)
Down and Alline and all Donath Trans	e coverage (18) Mass tort (40)
	htract (37) Securities litigation (28)
Product liability (24) Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	domain/Inverse Insurance coverage claims arising from the
	ation (14) above listed provisionally complex case eviction (33) types (41)
	eviction (33) types (41) In property (26) Enforcement of Judgment
Business tort/unfair business practice (07) Unlawful Detai	
Defamation (13)	
Fraud (16)	
Intellectual property (19)	
Professional negligence (25) Judicial Review	Miscellaneous Civil Felition
	feiture (05) Partnership and corporate governance (21)
	e: arbitration award (11) Other petition (not specified above) (43)
	andate (02)
	icial review (39) .400 of the California Rules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
factors requiring exceptional judicial management:	
 a Large number of separately represented parties b Extensive motion practice raising difficult or novel 	 d. Large number of witnesses e. Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court
issues that will be time-consuming to resolve	e. [] Coordination with related actions pending in one or more court in other counties, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of documentary evidence	f. Substantial postjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (<i>check all that apply</i>): a. \checkmark monetary	b nonmonetary; declaratory or injunctive relief C punitive
4. Number of causes of action (<i>specify</i>): Three (3)	
 5. This case is in the second secon	e of related case (You may use form CM-015)
Date: July 9, 2013	·// >
A. ILYAS AKBARI	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	(SIGNALIRE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
under the Probate Code, Family Code, or Welfare and Inst	NOTICE the action or proceeding (except small claims cases or cases filed tutions Code). (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
 File this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required If this cover sheet in addition to any cover sheet required 	
 If this case is complex under rule 3.400 et seq. of the Califor other parties to the action or proceeding. Unless this is a collections case under rule 3.740 or a com 	ornia Rules of Court, you must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all

CM-010

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check **one** box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the **primary** cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties in Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the *Civil Case Cover Sheet* to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that the case is complex. CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES

Auto Tort

Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist (46) (if the case involves an uninsured motorist claim subject to arbitration, check this item instead of Auto) Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/ Property Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort Asbestos (04) Asbestos Property Damage Asbestos Personal Injury/ Wrongful Death Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental) (24) Medical Malpractice (45) Medical Malpractice-Physicians & Surgeons Other Professional Health Care Malpractice Other PI/PD/WD (23) Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD (e.g., assault, vandalism) Intentional Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Negligent Infliction of **Emotional Distress** Other PI/PD/WD Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort **Business Tort/Unfair Business** Practice (07) Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil harassment) (08) Defamation (e.g., slander, libel) (13)Fraud (16) Intellectual Property (19) Professional Negligence (25) Legal Malpractice Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal) Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35) Employment Wrongful Termination (36) Other Employment (15)

Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plaintiff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case-Seller Plaintiff Other Promissory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) **Contractual Fraud** Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quiet title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) **Unlawful Detainer** Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfeiture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39) Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor **Commissioner Appeals CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET**

Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal. Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403) Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03) Construction Defect (10) Claims Involving Mass Tort (40) Securities Litigation (28) Environmental/Toxic Tort (30) Insurance Coverage Claims (arising from provisionally complex case type listed above) (41) Enforcement of Judgment Enforcement of Judgment (20) Abstract of Judgment (Out of County) Confession of Judament (nondomestic relations) Sister State Judgment Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) Petition/Certification of Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Taxes Other Enforcement of Judgment Case **Miscellaneous Civil Complaint RICO (27)** Other Complaint (not specified above) (42) Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (nonharassment) **Mechanics** Lien Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) **Miscellaneous Civil Petition** Partnership and Corporate Governance (21) Other Petition (not specified above) (43) **Civil Harassment** Workplace Violence Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Election Contest Petition for Name Change Petition for Relief From Late Claim Other Civil Petition

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

(CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of hearing expected for this case:

JURY TRIAL? CLASS ACTION? VES LIMITED CASE? VES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL ³⁰ HOURS/ CLASS

Item II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps - If you checked "Limited Case", skip to Item III, Pg. 4):

Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, find the main Civil Case Cover Sheet heading for your case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the Civil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.

Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B below which best describes the nature of this case.

Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location choice that applies to the type of action you have checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule 2.0.

Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthouse Location (see Column C below)

- Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district.
- Chass actions must be need in the stanley loss countriduse, central districts.
 May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage).
 Location where cause of action arose.
 Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred.
 Location where performance required or defendant resides.

- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.
 7. Location where petitioner resides.
 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
유 도	Auto (22)	A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
Auto Tort	Uninsured Motorist (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
δr	Asbestos (04)	 A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death 	2. 2.
^o ropei ath To	Product Liability (24)	☑ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1.,2,,3., 4.,8.
al Injury/ I ongful Dea	Medical Malpractice (45)	 A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice 	1., 4. 1., 4.
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	 A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death 	1., 4. 1., 4. 1., 3. 1., 4.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

SHORT TITLE:

CABRERA v. ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.		B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
فسقر برجا	Business Tort (07)		A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
operty th Tor	Civil Rights (08)		A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
ury/ Pr ul Dea	Defamation (13)		A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
rongfi	Fraud (16)		A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)		A6017 Legal Malpractice A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
æ 1J	Other (35)		A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
nent	Wrongful Termination (36)		A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Employment	Other Employment (15)		A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	0	 A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 5. 1., 2., 5.
Contract	Collections (09)		A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	2., 5., 6. 2., 5.
	Insurance Coverage (18)		A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1., 2., 5., 8.
	Other Contract (37)		A6009 Contractual Fraud A6031 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 5. 1., 2., 3., 8.
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)		A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2.
perty	Wrongful Eviction (33)	D	A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6.
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)		A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure A6032 Quiet Title A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	2., 6. 2., 6. 2., 6.
2	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)		A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	0	A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
llawful	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	0	A6020F Unlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
ŋ	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)		A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

LACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11) LASC Approved 03-04

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

SHORT TITLE: CABRERA v. ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
iew	Petition re Arbitration (11)	A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
Judicial Review	Writ of Mandate (02)	 A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review 	2., 8. 2. 2.
	Other Judicial Review (39)	A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2., 8.
u	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
-itigati	Construction Defect (10)	A6007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
lly Coi	Securities Litigation (28)	A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
risional	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
Prov	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	 A6141 Sister State Judgment A6160 Abstract of Judgment A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations) A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes) A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case 	 2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
ls nts	RICO (27)	A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaint	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	 A6030 Declaratory Relief Only A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex) 	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	 A6121 Civil Harassment A6123 Workplace Harassment A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case A6190 Election Contest A6110 Petition for Change of Name A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law A6100 Other Civil Petition 	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2. 2., 7. 2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION

SHORT TITLE:	CASE NUMBER
CABRERA V. ROBINSON HELICOPTER COMPANY, INC.	
	1

Item III. Statement of Location: Enter the address of the accident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other circumstance indicated in Item II., Step 3 on Page 1, as the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.

REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.			ADDRESS: Republic of Colombia
□1. ☑2. □3. □4. □5. □6. □7. ☑8. □9. □10.		9. 🗆 10.	
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
Republic of Colombia			

Item IV. Declaration of Assignment: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct and that the above-entitled matter is properly filed for assignment to the <u>Stanley Mosk</u> courthouse in the <u>Central</u> District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) and (d)].

Dated: July 9, 2013

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litern, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.