```
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
     ERIN HEXUM and NICK HEXUM,
 4
              Plaintiffs,
 5
                                      ) CIVIL ACTION NO.
                 -vs-
                                      ) CV 13-2701-SVW-MAN
 6
     ELI LILLY & COMPANY, an Indiana )
     Corporation,
 8
              Defendant.
 9
     CLAUDIA HERRERA and PETER
     LOWRY,
10
11
              Plaintiffs,
12
                 -vs-
                                      ) CIVIL ACTION NO.
                                      ) CV 13-2702-SVW-MAN
     ELI LILLY & COMPANY, an Indiana )
13
     Corporation,
14
              Defendant.
15
16
         VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF SHARON L. HOOG, M.D.
17
                         CONFIDENTIAL
18
19
           The videotaped deposition upon oral
      examination of SHARON L. HOOG, M.D., a witness
20
      produced and sworn before me, Janine A. Ferren,
      RPR, CRR, CSR No. 93-R-1028, Notary Public in and
21
      for the County of Hamilton, State of Indiana, taken
      on behalf of the Plaintiffs, at the offices of
22
      Connor Reporting, 1650 One American Square,
      Indianapolis, Marion County, Indiana, on the
23
      10th day of December, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., pursuant
      to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure with
24
      written notice as to time and place thereof.
25
```

```
1
                     APPEARANCES
 2
     FOR THE PLAINTIFFS:
              T. Matthew Leckman, Esquire (via videoconference)
 3
              Kevin M. O'Brien, Esquire (via videoconference)
              POGUST BRASLOW & MILLROOD, LLC
 4
              Eight Tower Bridge, Suite 1520
 5
              161 Washington Street
              Conshohocken, PA 19428
              (610)941-4204
 6
              mleckman@pbmattorneys.com
 7
              kobrien@pbmattorneys.com
 8
 9
     FOR THE DEFENDANT:
10
              Phyllis A. Jones, Esquire
              Jennifer A. Holmes, Esquire
              COVINGTON & BURLING, LLP
11
              One City Center
12
              850 Tenth Street NW
              Washington, DC 20001
13
              (202)662-6000
              pajones@cov.com
14
              jholmes@cov.com
15
16
     ALSO PRESENT:
17
              Christopher P. Gramling, Esquire
              Eli Lilly and Company
18
19
     VIDEOGRAPHER:
20
              Sara Williams
21
22
23
24
25
```


- 1 A Yes. But what you're quantifying is a number of
- 2 patients who had a symptom.
- 3 Q I haven't asked that question yet.
- 4 A That --
- 5 Q I simply want to understand, from 2006 to 2007,
- 6 the European label for Cymbalta was changed to
- 7 include quantitative information; correct?
- 8 MS. JONES: Object- -- asked and answered.
- 9 A I'm telling you what the number is. The number
- of what, okay. And this is the number of
- 11 patients who had any symptom.
- 12 Q Can -- can you listen to my question and try to
- answer the one I'm asking? Because it's really
- 14 simple.
- 15 From 2006 to 2007, the European product
- 16 label for Cymbalta was changed to include
- 17 quantitative information; correct?
- 18 MS. JONES: Objection, asked and answered.
- 19 You may answer again.
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q In 2007, that same year, the United States
- 22 product label took the frequency threshold down
- 23 from 2 percent to 1 percent; correct?
- 24 A Correct. That's a different measurement.
- 25 Q And would you agree with me that the product

labeling in Europe has different information 1 2. than what is contained in the product labeling in the United States as of 2007? 3 Different information on two different measures. 4 5 Do you know why the United States label does not contain the same information that the European 6 label contained? 7 No, I don't. Labels often diverge based on the 8 interests of the reviewers and other, perhaps, 9 10 cultural or healthcare delivery system factors and so on. But it's not unusual for different 11 12 countries to want to emphasize different things. 13 If you are a doctor in Europe, do you believe 14 that you should get the same information about a 15 drug that you would get if you were a doctor in 16 the United States? 17 Well, I --Α 18 MS. JONES: Well, hold -- let me just -objection, foundation, calls for speculation. 19 20 Go ahead. 21 Do you understand the question? 22 I believe I do. And when we propose labeling, 23 it is essentially the same. And in the 24 discussions with the individual regulatory 25 agencies, it -- it sort of morphs, it evolves

into language that emphasizes what they prefer 1 2. to emphasize. And it's not that someone is hiding any information from one or the other. 3 4 But when we propose the same thing to different 5 reviewers, they -- they have different preferences and -- and different interests. 6 7 And although I wasn't involved in this, clearly this is -- this is what the European 8 reviewers were more interested in. It wasn't 10 that this information wasn't available about the 11 individual symptoms. It was. But that's what 12 the FDA cared about, and this is apparently what 13 the Europeans cared about (indicating). 14 If you are a doctor in Europe, do you believe 15 you should get the same information about a drug 16 that you would get if you were a doctor in the 17 United States? Can you answer that question? 18 MS. JONES: Same objection. 19 And I think implicit in my earlier answer was 20 yes. We attempt to give the same information to 21 everyone. But it is subject to the modification 22 and approval of regulatory agencies who 23 ultimately differ, in small or large ways, about what they want in their labeling. In other 24 25 words, it's not entirely up to us.

- 1 Q It's not entirely up to who?
- 2 A Those of us proposing the labeling from our --
- 3 Q Is your testimony that you have evidence that
- 4 Lilly proposed to put this European language
- 5 into the United States label?
- 6 A No.
- 7 Q You know that there is no evidence of that;
- 8 right?
- 9 A I don't know that there's no evidence. I -- I'm
- 10 not aware of any.
- 11 Q Right. And you're certainly not prepared to
- tell this jury that there was some reason that
- 13 Lilly could not put this language in the United
- 14 States label; correct?
- 15 A The reason why they could not? No, there's not
- 16 a reason why we could not, unless the FDA
- objected.
- 18 Q And of course, again, you have no evidence that
- the FDA ever objected; correct?
- 20 A I have no evidence that was ever discussed.
- 21 Q Right. You have no evidence that it was even
- discussed between Lilly and the FDA?
- 23 A Correct.
- 24 Q Do you know why it was never discussed, if it
- 25 wasn't?

```
word "seizure"; correct?
 1
  A Correct.
    Q Tell me and tell the jury, does this paragraph
 3
  contain the word "duloxetine"?
 5
   A No.
  Q Does it contain the word "Cymbalta"?
 7
  A No.
 8
    Q This paragraph is discussing the marketing of
9
       other SSRIs and SNRIs; correct?
10 A Correct.
11
  Q It is not a discussion of Cymbalta; correct?
12
   A Correct.
13
             MR. LECKMAN: Those are all my questions,
14
        Doctor. Thank you.
             MS. JONES: We're done. Off the record.
15
16
             THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We are going --
17
        this concludes the deposition of Dr. Sharon
18
       Hoog. The time is 9:56 p.m. and we are off the
19
    record.
20
             (Time noted: 9:56 p.m.)
21
           AND FURTHER THE DEPONENT SAITH NOT.
22
23
24
25
```

```
1
     STATE OF INDIANA
                                    SS:
                                 )
 2
     COUNTY OF HAMILTON
 3
 4
           I, Janine A. Ferren, RPR, CRR, CSR
      No. 93-R-1028, a Notary Public in and for the
 5
      County of Hamilton, State of Indiana, at large, do
 6
 7
      hereby certify that SHARON L. HOOG, M.D., the
 8
      deponent herein, was by me first duly sworn to tell
      the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the
 9
      truth in the aforementioned matter;
10
           That the foregoing deposition was taken on
11
12
      behalf of the Plaintiffs at the offices of Connor
13
      Reporting, 1650 One American Square, Indianapolis,
14
      Marion County, Indiana, on the 10th day of
15
      December, 2014, at 10:00 a.m., pursuant to the
      Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
16
17
           That said deposition was taken down in
18
      stenograph notes and afterwards reduced to
19
      typewriting under my direction, and that the
20
      typewritten transcript is a true record of the
21
      testimony given by the said deponent; and that
22
      signature was requested by the deponent and all
23
      parties present;
24
           That the parties were represented by their
25
      counsel as aforementioned.
```

Case 1:14-cv-01614-AJT-JFA i Decument 124-13 h Filed 07/10/15 Page 2/15 of 247 PageID#

```
I do further certify that I am a disinterested
 1
 2 person in this cause of action, that I am not a
 3 relative or attorney of either party or otherwise
 4 interested in the event of this action, and that I
 5 am not in the employ of the attorneys for any party.
          IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
 7 hand and affixed my notarial seal on this _____
8 day of December, 2014.
9
10
11
12
                                NOTARY PUBLIC
13
14
    My Commission Expires:
    April 22, 2016
15
   County of Residence:
16
17
    Hamilton County
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

Case 1:14-cv-01614-AJT-JFA: Declinent 124-5 heiled 07/10/15 Bage 2/16 of 247 PageID#

		1330
1		
		ERRATA
2		
3		
4	PAGE LINE	CHANGE
5		
6	REASON:	
7		
8	REASON:	
9		
10	REASON:	
11		
12	REASON:	
13		
14	REASON:	
15		
16	REASON:	
17		
18		
19		
20	REASON:	
22		
23	REASON.	
24	REASON:	
25	WEADON.	

Case 1:14-cv-01614-AJT-JFA: Declinent 124-1; heiled 07/10/15 Bage 2470 247 PageID#

1	. 5 5 -		
2	ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT		
3			
4	I,, do		
5	hereby certify that I have read the		
6	foregoing pages, and that the same is		
7	a correct transcription of the answers		
8	given by me to the questions therein		
9	propounded, except for the corrections or		
10	changes in form or substance, if any,		
11	noted in the attached Errata Sheet.		
12			
13			
14			
15	SHARON L. HOOG, M.D. DATE		
16			
17			
18	Subscribed and sworn		
	to before me this		
19	, day of, 20		
20	My commission expires:		
21			
22	Notary Public		
23			
24			
25			