

Message

From: HEALY, CHARLES E [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=297008]
Sent: 10/6/2009 6:53:02 PM
To: SALTIRAS, DAVID A [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DASALT]
CC: FARMER, DONNA R [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=180070]; HEYDENS, WILLIAM F [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=230737]; KRONENBERG, JOEL M [AG/1000] [/O=MONSANTO/OU=NA-1000-01/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=501517]
Subject: Reviewer comments on glyphosate articles
Attachments: Glyphosate paper reviews.docx

Attached is a Word document made up of comments from reviewers (including us) of two articles that were recently proposed for publication in "Cell Biology and Toxicology." The first four reviews are for the very recent (Sep 2009) "Morphological damage . . ." article that we just submitted comments on. Two of the reviewers, someone else and us, recommended rejection of the article, the other two reviewers recommended acceptance of the article with minor revisions. It will be interesting to see what the journal decides to do with the paper.

The second set of comments is from a 2008 paper we reviewed on a glyphosate cytotoxicity study. One reviewer recommended that the paper should be accepted and three, including us, recommended rejection, which the journal did.

All told, I believe our efforts (mostly David's) helped keep one shoddy paper out of the press in 2008 and perhaps will do so for a second. Joel and I visited briefly today about whether we should stay in the business of reviewing journal articles when asked – these are two examples where it seems to have been worthwhile.

Chuck

Charles E. Healy, Ph.D., DABT
Manager, Toxicology Programs
Monsanto Company
800 N. Lindbergh Blvd., C1NA
St. Louis, MO [REDACTED]
phone: [REDACTED]
fax: [REDACTED]
e-mail: [REDACTED]@monsanto.com

