## EXHIBIT 2

```
1
                UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
             NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                   SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4
5 IN RE: ROUNDUP PRODUCTS MDL NO. 02741
    LIABILITY LITIGATION
6
    THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO: Hon. Vince Chhabria
7
    ALL ACTIONS
10
11
                       *CONFIDENTIAL*
12
13
           (30(b)(6) CAPACITY FOR MONSANTO COMPANY)
14
                          VOLUME II
15 VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF WILLIAM REEVES
16
             TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFFS
17
                      JANUARY 24, 2019
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

- 1 through screening to make sure that they can be
- 2 used safely. EPA handles them by breaking them
- 3 into groupings. So surfactants that have similar
- 4 chemical properties are all grouped together, and
- 5 there are several -- they call them clusters and
- 6 there -- there's numerous clusters.
- 7 Q Okay. So all of the surfactants are
- 8 -- that Monsanto uses undergo the same extent of
- 9 safety testing as -- as glyphosate does as well?
- 10 A They -- they do many of the -- of the
- 11 same studies. It's not identical. They -- they do
- 12 a -- so EPA's -- part of their assessment is to
- make sure they can answer all these questions but
- 14 they may use different data to do it.
- Okay. Now, you -- there was a series
- of questions where you were asked if Monsanto had
- ever done a long-term carcinogenicity study on --
- on Roundup.
- 19 A I do recall that.
- Q What are the problems with performing
- 21 a long-term carcinogenicity study on a formulated
- 22 product like Roundup?
- 23 A There -- there are a few reasons,
- several reasons actually, why we haven't done that
- 25 kind of a test with the full formulated product to

- 1 go from, you know, a two year long study in rats or
- 2 18 month study in mice.
- You know, first of all, when we look
- 4 across the data we have available, you know, when
- 5 we look at the epidemiology data, what we're seeing
- 6 is a -- a consistent message from those studies
- 7 that, you know, whether you're talking about the --
- 8 the cohort studies, the Agricultural Health Study
- 9 reports, there is no relationship between
- 10 glyphosate and cancer.
- 11 When we look at the -- the
- 12 case-control studies, some of the ones we discussed
- 13 yesterday, De Roos, McDuffie, studies like that,
- 14 what we see is that when you adjust for other
- exposures in these people's lives, the relationship
- between glyphosate use and cancer diminishes and
- becomes non-statistically significant. So the
- 18 epidemiology data overall are telling us there
- 19 really isn't a relationship here.
- When we look at the information we
- 21 have about glyphosate and the surfactant, the
- 22 surfactants by themselves, we're also seeing
- information, all the information we have from
- these, you know, these studies conducted according
- to the international protocols under good

- 1 laboratory practices are telling us there is no
- 2 relationship between glyphosate and -- and these
- 3 surfactants and the ability to cause cancer in an
- 4 experimental system.
- 5 So we know from human data under --
- 6 gathered under real world conditions, we know from
- 7 experimental system data, whether that's animals or
- 8 some of the cell studies we mentioned, we're not
- 9 seeing anything there that's telling us there is a
- 10 reason to do that sort of testing.
- 11 And then the other issues you think
- about is the feasibility. You know, so we have
- 13 this problem --
- 14 Q So let me --
- 15 A I'm sorry.
- MR. BRENZA: Let's -- let's just go
- off the record for a second because we're getting
- 18 some sirens.
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going off
- the record at 5:44 p.m.
- 21 (A recess was taken.)
- THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
- 23 record at 5:46 p.m.
- Q (BY MR. BRENZA) Now, I interrupted
- you. You were about to explain the feasibility

- 1 problems that might exist if -- if you tried to
- perform a long-term carcinogenicity study on
- 3 rodents.
- 4 A Yes, our concern there --
- 5 Q On -- on formulated product.
- 6 A With formulated product, yes. The,
- you know, the concern we have about feasibility is
- 8 whether or not you can obtain, you know, useful
- 9 data. Can you get data from a study like that that
- 10 can answer the question in -- in a way that, you
- 11 know, is -- is meaningful.
- When you think about feeding an
- animal a surfactant, these are essentially soaps.
- 14 If I give them too large of a dose, they're going
- 15 to get sick. Soaps, surfactants, when you -- when
- 16 you feed them to animals, they cause irritation in
- their stomachs. They're either sick or they won't
- eat. Sick animals, you do not conduct studies on
- 19 sick animals for two years and get meaningful data
- 20 at the other end. So we need to be very careful
- 21 about that.
- The challenge comes when, if I have a
- formulated product, I need to keep the ratio of
- 24 glyphosate to surfactant the same as it would be,
- you know, in the -- in the product. And in order

- 1 to have enough glyphosate in there for -- so one of
- their issues we mentioned earlier, the first rat
- 3 study, they said the glyphosate doses were too low,
- 4 we can't -- this isn't meaningful. So we need to
- 5 be able to reach a certain level of glyphosate.
- In order to reach those levels of
- 7 glyphosate to have confidence that if we are going
- 8 to see something we would have, the surfactant at
- 9 that point is so high, you've made the animals --
- 10 you've -- you've essentially irritated their
- 11 stomachs, their digestive system so much, they're
- 12 not going to eat, they're going to be sick animals.
- And so that, you know, at that point
- 14 you realize there isn't much feasibility there.
- We're going to have to think about other ways to
- 16 answer that kind of question. And we already have
- that information that we need.
- We, you know, as I mentioned, the
- 19 epidemiology data are telling us we don't have a
- 20 relationship between glyphosate-based herbicide use
- 21 and cancer, the animal data are telling us we're
- not seeing anything here that would indicate the
- 23 ability to cause cancer, and then the cell studies,
- these mechanistic studies we talked about, also
- 25 show us, either with -- whether it's with the

- 1 formulated product or the individual components
- 2 alone, these products don't cause some -- don't
- 3 result in some change, you know, either in whole
- 4 animals or in cellular systems in, you know, cells
- 5 growing in a laboratory, that would indicate the
- 6 potential to cause cancer.
- 7 Q Has -- has Monsanto considered the
- 8 possibility that there is some synergistic effect
- 9 between glyphosate and the surfactant in a
- 10 formulated product?
- 11 A Yes, and part of my role, as I
- discussed in scientific affairs, was compiling that
- 13 -- that information from experts within the company
- and, you know, adding my thoughts to it so that we
- could have our position on that, you know, what do
- 16 we -- what do we think about the potential for
- interactions to occur.
- Q What's the -- what is the answer to
- 19 that gly -- that Monsanto has reached?
- 20 A That we do not believe there is a
- 21 potential for an interaction.
- Q How does that relate to the work you
- did with hydrocarbon mixtures?
- 24 A It -- it's a similar idea, you know,
- 25 and really what it comes down to is, you know, when