

From: [Rusyn, Ivan](#)
To: [Kathryn Guyton](#)
Cc: [Ross, Matthew](#); [martin.matt](#); [REDACTED] [LE CURIEUX Frank](#)
Subject: RE: IARC Meeting 112 Reference List for Glyphosate
Date: Friday, February 27, 2015 8:39:56 AM
Attachments: [greim 2015_early_online.pdf](#)

Kate,

Thank you. This is an interesting polemical piece. It does not surprise me that when under pressure, the industry can muster a "relevant" publication that goes from submission to acceptance in as little as 7 weeks. Kudos to CRT, a known helper to "informative" publications from the industry stakeholders, for such expediency and relevance.

As I looked through the paper, I believe the most interest in its facts (not conclusions) should be taken by sub-group 3, not group 4. However, I cc here Matt, Matt and Frank so they take a look at small vignettes that are relevant to their sub-sections. There is no other "mechanistic" data in here that warrants attention. I am confident that the IARC monograph will be much more comprehensive and balanced.

Ivan

From: Kathryn Guyton [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, February 27, 2015 8:14 AM
To: Rusyn, Ivan
Subject: FW: IARC Meeting 112 Reference List for Glyphosate

Bonjour Professor,

FYI. Do let us know if there are new references you'd like to include from this recent review.

Best,

Kate

From: <FARMER>, "DONNAR [AG/1000]" <[REDACTED]>
Date: Friday 27 February 2015 14:25
To: Kate Guyton <[REDACTED]>
Subject: RE: IARC Meeting 112 Reference List for Glyphosate

Dear Kate,

I am so sorry the link didn't work.

I have attached the PDF.

Regards,

Donna

Dewayne Johnson v.
Monsanto Company
Defendant's Exhibit 2416
Case No. CGC-16-550128
exhibitsicker.com